

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,521	02/21/2002	Luciano Mondani	25-335	4703	
	590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			FOX, CHARLES A		
8TH FLOOR	8TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			3652	3652 DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/078,521	MONDANI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles A. Fox	3652			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ENSINOR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20040212.					
2a)□ 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
الــارى	and the manufacture of the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 4) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)L	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents I 2. Certified copies of the priority documents I 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No I in this National Stage			
?)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 20040212.	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	e			

Art Unit: 3652

This application has been reassigned to Examiner Charles A. Fox.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bostad et al. Bostad et al. US 5,368,435 disclose a sideshift assembly for a forklift comprising:

a carriage comprising a pair of vertical members (16) that are horizontally spaced;

a frame support member (33) secured transversely to said vertical members; wherein said vertical members are movably secured in the mast of said forklift; a side shift frame comprising an upper cross member (34), a lower cross member (28) and at least 2 side members (30,32);

said upper cross member having a lower contact surface for sliding engagement with said frame support member (33);

side shift operator means (52) for causing lateral movement of said frame, wherein said means is located in a portion of said frame support member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3652

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bolzoni. In regards to claims 2,4 and 7 Bostad et al. teach the limitations of claim 1 as above, they do not teach the device as having a fork position device. Bolzoni DE 198 05 790 A1 teaches a fork positioner for a forklift truck, said positioner comprising:

first and second shoe members (14) adapted to slide horizontally along a sliding surface (33) of a side shift carriage;

each of said shoes adapted to receive a shank portion of a fork, said contact portion of said shoe being coplanar with a front face of a side shift frame;

said positioner adapted to move said shoes relative to each other such that the shoes are equidistant from the centerline of said side shift frame at all times. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al. with a fork positioner as taught by Bolzoni in order to allow an operator to change the spacing of the forks from the operators seat while maintaining the operators view of the forks.

In regards to claim 3 Bostad et al. further teach that said side shift frame is rectangular.

In regards to claim 5 Bostad et al. also teach that the upper surface of the support member is convex and the lower surface of the upper cross member is concave, wherein said surfaces are slidably engaged with each other.

Art Unit: 3652

In regards to claim 6 wherein said upper cross member defines a planer portion overhanging a front side of said support member.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al. and Bolzoni as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of German patent 200 20 292 U1. Bostad et al. and Bolzoni teach the limitations of claim 2 as above, they do not teach the side shift being driven by a pair of hydraulic cylinders. German patent '292 teaches a side shift carriage that uses two single action cylinder to move a side shift frame in one of two direction depending upon which cylinder is engaged, wherein each of the cylinders are sealed to prevent the escape of hydraulic fluid around the piston. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al. and Bolzoni with the cylinders as taught by the German '292 patent in order to simplify the hydraulic system by using single action cylinders to move the side shift frame.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of French Patent 76 02832. Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 teach the limitations of claim 8 as above, they do not teach pads between the cylinders and the frame. French patent '832 teaches placing piston pads between a hydraulic cylinder and a side shift frame member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 with piston pads as taught by French patent '832 to spread the load applied to the frame over a larger area.

Art Unit: 3652

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Sorlie. In regards to claim 11 Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 teach the limitations of claim 8 as above, Bolzoni further teaches the forks are maintained an equidistant length from the center line of the side shift carriage. They do not teach any particular type of drive system for the fork positioner. Sorlie US 5,190,436 teaches using hydraulic cylinders to position forks within a side shift frame. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al, Bolzoni and German '292 with the hydraulic cylinder taught by Sorlie in order to drive the fork positioner using a well known drive means as suggested by Bolzoni.

In regards to claims 12 and 13 Bolzoni further teaches that said center fork positioner is comprised of an upper chain and a lower chain forming a chain loop, wherein said chain loop is used to move said first and second shoes at the same time.

Claims 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al. in view of Shinoda et al. Regarding claim 1 Bostad et al teach a sideshift assembly for a forklift comprising:

a carriage comprising a pair of vertical members (16) that are horizontally spaced;

a frame support member (33) secured transversely to said vertical members; wherein said vertical members are movably secured in the mast of said forklift;

Art Unit: 3652

a side shift frame comprising an upper cross member (34), a lower cross member (28) and at least 2 side members (30,32);

said upper cross member having a lower contact surface for sliding engagement with said frame support member (33);

side shift operator means (52) for causing lateral movement of said frame, wherein said means is located in a portion of said frame support member. They do not teach the side shift frame as having an upper cross member that protects the side shift cylinder by covering it. Shinoda et al. teach a side shift frame comprising:

an upper plate (92a), a lower plate (92b);

two side connecting members (93) for forming a side shift frame with said plates; wherein said upper plate is made so as to protect the side shift cylinder (90).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al. with a protective plate as taught by Shinoda et al. in order to keep cargo from damaging the side shift cylinder during its movement by the forklift.

In regards to claim 15 Bostad et al. also teach that the upper surface of the support member is convex and the lower surface of the upper cross member is concave, wherein said surfaces are slidably engaged with each other.

In regards to claims 16 and 17 Bostad et al. further teach that said side shift frame is a quadrilateral shape with parallel side forming a rectangule.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al. and Shinoda et al. as applied to claim 16 above, and

Art Unit: 3652

further in view of German patent 200 20 292 U1. Bostad et al. and Shinoda et al. teach the limitations of claim 16 as above, they do not teach the side shift being driven by a pair of hydraulic cylinders. German patent '292 teaches a side shift carriage that uses two single action cylinder to move a side shift frame in one of two direction depending upon which cylinder is engaged, wherein each of the cylinders are sealed to prevent the escape of hydraulic fluid around the piston. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al. and Shinoda et al. with the cylinders as taught by the German '292 patent in order to simplify the hydraulic system by using single action cylinders to move the side shift frame.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al, Shinoda et al. and German '292 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of French Patent 76 02832. Bostad et al, Shinoda et al. and German '292 teach the limitations of claim 18 as above, they do not teach pads between the cylinders and the frame. French patent '832 teaches placing piston pads between a hydraulic cylinder and a side shift frame member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al, Shinoda et al. and German '292 with piston pads as taught by French patent '832 to spread the load applied to the frame over a larger area.

Art Unit: 3652

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostad et al. in view of Bolzoni. Bostad et al. teach a sideshift assembly for a forklift comprising:

a carriage comprising a pair of vertical members (16) that are horizontally spaced;

a frame support member (33) secured transversely to said vertical members; wherein said vertical members are movably secured in the mast of said forklift;

a side shift frame comprising an upper cross member (34), a lower cross member (28) and at least 2 side members (30,32);

said upper cross member having a lower contact surface for sliding engagement with said frame support member (33);

side shift operator means (52) for causing lateral movement of said frame, wherein said means is located in a portion of said frame support member. They do not teach the device as having a fork position device. Bolzoni teaches a fork positioner for a forklift truck, said positioner comprising:

first and second shoe members (14) adapted to slide horizontally along a sliding surface (33) of a side shift carriage;

each of said shoes adapted to receive a shank portion of a fork, said contact portion of said shoe being coplanar with a front face of a side shift frame;

said positioner adapted to move said shoes relative to each other such that the shoes are equidistant from the centerline of said side shift frame at all times. It would

Art Unit: 3652

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the device taught by Bostad et al. with a fork positioner as taught by Bolzoni in order to allow an operator to change the spacing of the forks from the operators seat while maintaining the operators view of the forks.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on February 12, 2004 have been entered into the record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon, but considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is: Krett 1966, Reeves 1983, Weinert et al. 1992, Hamlik 1998, prentice 2002.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-4294. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-5:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3652

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF CAF 5/29/04

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600